

Appl. No. 10/798,488  
Amdt. Dated 04/01/2005  
Reply to Office Action of February 1, 2005

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed February 1, 2005. In the Office Action, claims 1-20 were cited as being subject to a restriction requirement. More specifically, claims 7-12 were restricted to species I, claims 1-6 were restricted to species II, claims 13-16 were restricted to species III and claims 17-20 were restricted to class IV. Applicant hereby elects claims 1-6 in species II. Accordingly, Applicants have withdrawn claims 7-20 from consideration in order to comply with the restriction requirement.

Reexamination and reconsideration of this case is respectfully requested in view of the amendments made herein and the following remarks.

### *Conclusion*

Applicants respectfully request examination of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 04/01/2005

By

  
William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
Trademark Office.

Date: 04/01/2005

  
Susan McFarlane

04/01/2005

Date